

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/699,262	10/27/2000	Kai Cieliebak	CH919990038US1	7389
IBM CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW DEPT. P.O. BOX 218 YORKTOWN HEIGHTS, NY 10598			EXAMINER	
			PATEL, JAGDISH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3693	
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		02/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/699,262	CIELIEBAK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JAGDISH PATEL	3693			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 N	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or		2			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	•			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. Is have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)		•			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is in response to amendment filed 11/16/06.

Response to Amendment

2. Claim 1 has been amended. Claims 1-4 remain pending.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejections.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

4. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 USC § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The amended claim recites step d. as "identifying at least one corrective action ... when said comparing indicates that the second value of risk and return differs from the first value of risk and return." This (conditional recitation) renders the claimed invention indefinite because the scope of the claim when the aforementioned parameters (i.e. second value of risk and return

Application/Control Number: 09/699,262

Art Unit: 3693

and first value of risk and return) do not differ is not clear. It is suggested that the claim recite this as:

Based upon the comparison of the second value of risk and return and first value of risk and return.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

5. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

In accordance with the revised Interim Guidelines for Subject Matter Eligibility (refer to web link,

http://www.uspto.gov/web/offices/pac/compexam/interim_guide_subj_matter_eligibility.html) for details), a claimed invention as a whole must be useful and accomplish a practical application.

To determine whether the claimed invention satisfies the "practical application" requirement, the claimed invention must produce <u>a useful</u>, <u>concrete and tangible result</u>. The focus is on the result of the <u>claim as a whole</u>, not the individual steps or structure used to produce the result.

A useful, concrete and tangible result must be <u>either specifically recited in the claim or flow inherently therefrom</u>. To flow inherently therefrom, it must occur. If there is a reasonable exception or it is merely likely that it would occur, it does not "flow inherently therefrom" and the claim would need to be amended to specifically recite the result.

5.3 Claim 1, does not produce a useful, concrete and tangible result because, step d. merely states that at least one corrective action is identified based upon the comparison performed in step c. However the claims recites that the corrective action is identified only when the two parameters (second value of risk and return and first value of risk and return) differ from each other. This defect causes lack of concreteness.

Application/Control Number: 09/699,262

Art Unit: 3693

Furthermore, merely identifying corrective action does not translate into actual implementation of the corrective action, which would dynamically adjust the recited characteristics of step d.

The examiner recommends the following amended step d. to overcome both 112 (second) and 101 rejections.

"identifying and implementing at least one corrective action to dynamically adjust at least one of based upon the comparison of the second value of the desired risk and return and the value of the desired risk.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-4 are allowable over prior art subject to correction of the deficiencies outlined above.

Conclusion

4. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Application/Control Number: 09/699,262

Art Unit: 3693

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAGDISH PATEL whose telephone number is (571) 272-6748.

The examiner can normally be reached on 800AM-630PM Mon-Ruerand Tihus

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **KRAMER JAMES A** can be reached on **(571)272-6783**. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 517-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jagdish N. Patel

(Primary Examiner, AU 3693)

2/15/07